Checket by 17 8/25/16

#### CETIFICATION

SDG No:

MC47204

Laboratory:

Accutest, Massachusetts

1591702

Site:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release

Matrix:

Groundwater

Assessment, Humacao, PR

Humacao, PR

**SUMMARY:** 

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Phase 2A Release Assessment Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken August 4-5, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC47204. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MAPED EPH, Massachusets Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
	DESCRIPTION		
MC47204-1	OSMW-4D	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47204-2	OSMW-4S	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47204-2D	OSMW-4S MSD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47204-2S	OSMW-4S MS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47204-3	OSMW-5D	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47204-4	OSMW-5S	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 16, 2016

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

OSMW-4D MC47204-1

Matrix: Method: AQ - Ground Water

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 08/04/16 Date Received: 08/06/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

File ID DF Analyzed Prep Date Prep Batch By **Analytical Batch** Run #1 DE15161.D 1 08/09/16 TA 08/07/16 OP48360 GDE846

Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1 990 ml 2.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.1	1.6	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.1	0.58	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.45	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.1	0.37	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.1	0.44	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.39	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.34	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.1	0.40	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.1	0.46	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.1	0.97	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.1	0.60	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	29	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	30.5	100	17	ug/l	JB
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	46.2	100	27	ug/l	JB
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	29	ug/I	-

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	89%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	88%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	74%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	100%		40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

### **SGS** Accutest

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sar Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	ole ID: N	MADEP	04-2 ound Wa EPH RE	ter EV 1.1 SW846 A Release Asse		umacao, PR	Date	Sampled: Received: ent Solids:	08/04/1 08/06/1 n/a	_
Run #1 Run #2	File ID DE15162	.D	DF 1	Analyzed 08/09/16	By TA	Prep Date 08/07/16	3	Prep Batcl OP48360		alytical Batch )E846
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Vo	olume	Final V 2.0 ml	olume						
CAS No.	Compou	ınd		Result	RL	MDL 1	Units	0		

<b>G1 D 1</b> (0.	o amprome.	1.Court	103	IVILI	CHICA	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.1	1.6	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.1	0.59	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.46	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.1	0.38	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.1	0.44	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.40	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.34	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.1	0.41	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.1	0.46	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.1	0.98	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.1	0.61	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	29	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	34.4	100	17	ug/l	JB
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	49.4	100	28	ug/l	JB
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	29	ug/l	
					_	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2	o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane	70% 81% 68%		40-140% 40-140% 40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	92%		40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	Client Sample ID:	OSMW-5D
i	Lab Sample ID:	MC47204-3

Matrix:

MC47204-3

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: Date Received:

08/05/16 08/06/16

Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Percent Solids: n/a

Project: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

File ID DF Analyzed Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 DE15163.D 1 08/09/16 TA 08/07/16 OP48360 **GDE846** 

Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1 960 ml 2.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9 208-96-8 120-12-7 56-55-3 50-32-8 205-99-2 191-24-2	Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	1.6 0.37 0.60 0.32 0.30 0.47	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	Q
207-08-9	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	ND	5.2	0.37	ug/l	
218-01-9 53-70-3	Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND ND	5.2 5.2	0.45 0.40	ug/l ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.2	0.35	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.2	0.41	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.2	0.30	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.2	0.47	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.2	1.6	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.2	0.32	ug/I	
129-00-0	Ругеле	NĐ	5.2	0.62	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	36.0	100	30	ug/l	J
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	38.8	100	17	ug/l	JB
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	51.2	100	28	ug/l	JB
	C11-C22 Aromatics	36.0	100	30	ug/l	J
					_	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2	o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene	82% 81% 89% 76%		40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

### **SGS** Accutest

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:				nacao, P	Date Per c	-	3/05/16 3/06/16 a
Run #1 Run #2	File ID DF DE15164.D 1	Analyzed 08/09/16	By TA	Prep D 08/07/		Prep Batch OP48360	Analytical Batch GDE846
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume Final Volu 980 ml 2.0 ml	me					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.1	1.6	ug/l		
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l		
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.1	0.59	ug/l		
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l		
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l		
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.46	ug/l		
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.1	0.38	ug/l		
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l		
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.1	0.44	ug/l		
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.40	ug/l		
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.34	ug/l		
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.1	0.40	ug/l		
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l		
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.1	0.46	ug/l		
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.1	0.98	ug/l		
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l		
129-00-0	Ругепе	ND	5.1	0.61	ug/l		
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.	36.2	100	29	ug/l	J	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	41.7	100	17	ug/l	JВ	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	55.1	100	28	ug/l	JВ	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	36.2	100	29	ug/l	j	_
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	SOF 180CH	80 AF A
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	86%		40-1	40%	15/ 0.5.1	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	83%			40%	[일/ Pofael]	

ND = Not detected

321-60-8

3386-33-2

580-13-2

MDL = Method Detection Limit

83%

78%

80%

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

2-Fluorobiphenyl

1-Chlorooctadecane

2-Bromonaphthalene

J = Indicates an estimated value

40-140%

40-140%

40-140%

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Mendez

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Page 1 of 1

# Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Job Number: MC47204

Account: AMANYWP Anderson Mulholland and Assoc.

Project: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

ACCATION OF BUILDING

Sample OP48360-MS OP48360-MSD	File ID DE15159.D DE15160.D	DF 1 1	Analyzed 08/09/16 08/09/16	By TA TA	Prep Date 08/07/16 08/07/16	Prep Batch OP48360 OP48360	Analytical Batch GDE846 GDE846
		I			08/07/16	OP48360	GDE846
· ·		1	08/09/16	TA	08/07/16	OP48360	GDE846
MC47204-2	DE15162.D	1	08/09/16	TA	08/07/16	OP48360	GDE846

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1

MC47204-1, MC47204-2, MC47204-3, MC47204-4

		MC47204	-2	Spike	M	3	MS	Spîke	MSD	MSD		Limits
CAS No.	Compound	ug/l (	2	ug/l	ug	71	%	ug/l	ug/l	%	RPD	Rec/RPD
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND		52.6	40.	.7	77	51.5	35.9	70	13	40-140/25
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND		52.6	37.	.0	70	51.5	33.7	65	9	40-140/25
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND		52.6	38.	4	73	51.5	34.3	67	11	40-140/25
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND		52.6	47.	7	91	51.5	43.0	83	10	40-140/25
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		52.6	46.	2	88	51.5	41.9	81	10	40-140/25
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		52.6	47.	3	90	51.5	43.1	84	9	40-140/25
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		52.6	51.	3	97	51.5	45.4	88	12	40-140/25
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		52.6	45.	9	87	51.5	41.5	81	10	40-140/25
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND		52.6	44.	7	85	51.5	40.6	79	10	40-140/25
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		52.6	49.	6	94	51.5	44.5	86	11	40-140/25
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND		52.6	45.	8	87	51.5	41.5	81	10	40-140/25
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND		52.6	40.	7	77	51.5	36.6	71	11	40-140/25
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		52.6	47.	6	90	51.5	43.1	84	10	40-140/25
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		52.6	39.	1	74	51.5	35.8	69	9	40-140/25
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND		52.6	32.	0	61	51.5	29.4	57	8	40-140/25
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND		52.6	43.	8	83	51.5	39.1	76	11	40-140/25
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND		52.6	44.	9	85	51.5	40.8	79	10	40-140/25
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND		842	800	}	95	825	726	88	10	40-140/25
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	34.4 J	B	316	301	l	84	309	258	72	15	40-140/25
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	49.4 JI	В	421	447	,	94	412	396	84	12	40-140/25
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS		MSD		MCa	7204-2	T imite				
-120 2101		THE		141(31)		14104	1202	THILL				
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	92%		83%		70%		40-140%		30E 190	PLANO -	- 63
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	88%		89%		81%		40-140%		OK NOW		4.
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	91%		80%		68%		40-140%	/	3	35	
									/ 1	Water Street		17541



2-Bromonaphthalene

92%

89%

92%

40-140%

580-13-2

<sup>\* =</sup> Outside of Control Limits.

ACCUTEST			CHAIN OF CUSTODY						809960537434 PAGE OF			F <u> </u>												
NE				SO D'Angelo D TEL. S	01-411-43		108-181-		11752				1 1	1				8 3		_	Frei	1c	47	204
Client / Reporting Information	CONTRACT	SIKA MA	Alighup man	Project	Inform	_	PHILES!	1000	370	10 10	125	122.91	1908	Rec	queste	d Ans	olysis.	(000)			shoot		6602	Matrix Codes
Company Hairis		Project rissue											Т	П	Т		Т	T	П	T	T	Т	Т	
Anderson MulhoRand & Associates Street Address		BMSMC Pha	de 2A Relega	Assessmen	rt									1				1						DW - Ortstog Water GW - Ground Water
	İ	Street	_		-		177 10	No.		dir con	The said	177	4	1			Н							WW - Water SW - Surface Wager
2700 Westchester Avenue, Suita 417	Σø	Cay		Same	1000	informati na interna	on [ if dith	ordered O	um A	ger) in			- E	Į	l		1			1		1	Į	SO-Sal
Purchase NY		Humação		PR		,							1 5		١.		1							SED-Settings
Project Contact		Project 8			Seres 7	dires	-		_				를	l	1		1	1				1		(U-O) UQ-Onertigue
Terry Taylor		021							_				1 5	l								1		AIR - Air SOL - Other Solet
Prome 9	Fm #	Clent Purchase	Crow #		City			8	-		2	р	] 🗐	1				1				1		WP - Wor
914-251-0400 Sarrow(s) Name(s)	Phone 6	Prosect Manage	•		Allerin	1			_		_		Aromatica									1		FB-Field Bank ES-Equations (Sank
N. Rivers, R. Stuart, R. O'Reilly, T. Tayl		Terry Taylor											Į į	1			f .	1		1	1		1	RB- Rime Blank TB-Tino Blank
		reny rayage		Coltentee		1			Ayriga	r di prope	regel (fo		8	Ē				1						
[]					l		1	Π,		x [_	1	18	] :	≝				ľ				1		
Service Field ID / Point of Collection		MEDING VIA 0	Desta	1=	By .	Manua	of and transports	5 3	100	MON MON	10 10	8	5	BMA				1				1	1	LAB USE ONLY
-/ OSMW-4D			8-4-16	1329	NE	GW	,	2	77		$\top$	$\dagger \dagger$	X	X						$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$		
( osww - 4.5			0-4-16	1435	NR	GW	2	2	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\vdash$	X	X			$\vdash$	1		†—	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$	
_2_ OSMW - 4 S M5			8-4-16	1450	NR	GW	-	H	+	+	+	++	X	X	$\vdash$	_	1	$\vdash$	_	╁	┼─	<del>                                     </del>		
TOSMW-45 MSD	- 1		2 4 16	7/4	+	-		2	╁┤	+	+	₩		_	$\vdash$	_		<del>                                     </del>	_	┾	₩		$\vdash$	
-3 OSMW-5D			X 7 70	1509	NR	GW	2	2 -	╢	+	+	₩	X	X		_	_	$\vdash$	_	<del>-</del>	₩	$\vdash$	<u> </u>	
			8-5-16	1356	NR	GW	2	2	H	44	+	-11		K	Ш		_	<u> </u>		$\vdash$	╙	<b> </b>	_	141
V DSMW-55	!		8-5-16	1446	NR	GW	2	2	Ц	$\perp$	4	Щ	X	X	Ш		_				$\bot$			راقا
									Ш	$\perp$		П												
									П	П	Т	П								П				
									$\Box$	$\top$	$\top$	1-1-			$\Box$					$\vdash$				
-								+	₩		+	<del>    -</del>	Н				-			$\vdash$		├─┤	$\vdash$	
									H	╌╂╼┼	+		$\vdash$	-			-	$\vdash$	_	⊢	$\vdash$	$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash$	
150500 SWAGON CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY	AST ROSSAUPT	51012803004	CV-scribbe voccore ve	Marsen entre	A1445523	127201490	r 25 (200.05)	100	H					11 10 1000	70000			_		$\vdash$				
Tubranqued Time ( Bushman (byc)					2755		9.74.45	B 8	2	2 2	3 19	2 2	Ayriba-r	335			500		F.	322	232	1/2	3092	Market Co.
Md. 16 Business Days		Lateral De Charles	me Pelis / Date:	A PURE NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.			Date		190M	-	on_	P Catego	Derhole	ro grad	100		No. Pur				of thetru	clore	Contract of the Contract of th	
Std. 16 Statemen Days ( by Contract and	N)		11.43b. El 1	7 m			9 <del>11</del> (6			-		د مسود موضع ۲			P		L AS	ESSI	MEN	نبيا	<del>7</del>	7		
10 Day MUSH			14.				Lavel S+4	ı İ				Ferma	•								7//	/		
3 Day Incom	-		A JA H			Li Planteci						Permet		— I	<u> </u>	ABE	LVE	RIFIC	ATK	JN	##	mer-		
2 Say EMERGENCY	4				١٠٦٠	Controll-const	en inchi Sentantian						_								4			
1 Day EMERGENCY							Ometron Car				Longo	lity .		ŀ										
Entergency & Reigh Tile class propriates VIA Labelin.		-	nata Carabada				cJ Aleckace	d = Re	outs +	OC San	-	- Partie	Raw day										_	,
**************************************	late Times	. /2hr	aple Costody ou bearing by		meter by	1207 905	Since State	apine.	chang	7	neg ko	m, inchu	ding co	nation 6	belivery		cle'	7	9/5/6	and the same	a Autorita	/		and the second
1 NIN KA	x-5-1	6 1700	· Fed	EX				2	_	10	21					111	4		1		NO	//		
3 1500-1-10 20/20-1-10	Into Thomas	_	Named vaid (By:						aberi 8	y:					4	7		T,		d By:				
	in Pinis		housest Sy					<u> </u>	See F				-	_	7000	wheel	-	<u> </u>			Cle Inc.	/	Cardy 1	
[5			<u> </u>		_	_		23	۷,	233		<u> </u>	det propet								2	2.1	, /.	3 0

MC47204: Chain of Custody
Page 1 of 2

#### **EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE**

SDG No:

MC47204

Laboratory:

**Accutest, Massachusetts** 

Analysis:

MADEP EPH

Number of Samples: 6

Location:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Six (6) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** 

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

**Critical findings:** 

None

**Major findings:** 

None

Minor findings:

1. C9 – C18 aliphatics and C19 – C36 aliphatics found in method blank. No action taken, analyte concentration below reporting limit. Target analytes not detected above reporting limit in sample batch. Laboratory qualified the results with

a B. No further qualification performed

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 16, 2016

## SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC47204-1

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 8/4/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.1	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.1	ug/!	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	30.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	46.2	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	100	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC47204-2

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 8/4/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ū	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ū	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Chrysene	5.1	ug/i	1	-	Ü	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Fluorene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes
Pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	Ū	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	34.4	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	49.4	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC47204-3

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 8/5/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.2	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.2	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	36.0	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	38.8	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	51.2	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	36.0	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: MC47204-4

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 8/5/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.1	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.1	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	36.2	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	41.7	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	55.1	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	36.2	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: MC47204-2MS

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 8/4/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	40.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Acenaphthylene	37.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Anthracene	38.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	47.7	ug/i	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	46.2	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	47.3	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	51.3	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	45.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Chrysene	44.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	49.6	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluoranthene	45.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluorene	40.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	47.6	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	39.1	ug/i	1	-	-	Yes
Naphthalene	32.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Phenanthrene	43.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Pyrene	44.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	800	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	301	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	421	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: MC47204-2MSD

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 8/4/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	35.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Acenaphthylene	33.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Anthracene	34.3	ug/l	1	-	~	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	43.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	41.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	43.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	45.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	41.5	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Chrysene	40.6	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	44.5	ug/l	1	-	•	Yes
Fluoranthene	41.5	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluorene	36.6	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	43.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	35.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Naphthalene	29.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Phenanthrene	39.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Pyrene	40.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	726	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	258	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	396	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

### **DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS**

Type of validation Full:X Limited:	Project Number:_MC47204
REVIEW OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLI	EUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE
validation actions. This document will assist the more informed decision and in better serving were assessed according to the data validation precedence METHOD FOR THE DETERI HYDROCARBONS (VPH), Massachusetts Dep (2004). Also the general validation guidelines	ile organics were created to delineate required e reviewer in using professional judgment to make the needs of the data users. The sample results on guidance documents in the following order of MINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM partment of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes dation actions listed on the data review worksheets is otherwise noted.
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutes received has been reviewed and the quality correview for SVOCs included:	st_Laboratories data package ntrol and performance data summarized. The data
Lab. Project/SDG No.:MC47204 No. of Samples:6 Field blank No.: Equipment blank No.: Trip blank No.: Field duplicate No.:	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesN/A GC/MS TuningN/A Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
Overall _Extractable_Petroleum_Hydrocarbons_by_GC (C9_to_C36_Aliphatics;_C11_to_C22_(Aromati	Comments: c_by_Method_MADEP_EPH,_REV_1.1
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated pondetect  Reviewer:   Date: _08/16/2016	

	Criteria were not r	All criteria were metx met and/or see below
I. DATA COMPLETNE A. Data Packag		
MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
B. Other		Discrenancies:
B. Other		Discrepancies:

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED	DATE ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples	extracted and ar	nalyzed within me	thod recommend	ed holding time

#### Criteria

#### Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 + 2 °C immediately after collection.

### Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

Cooler	temperature	(Criteria:	4 -	+ 2 '	<sub>5</sub> C).	2.1°C	

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ). If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R). If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

		Crite	All criteria eria were not met and/o	a were metX or see below	
CALIBRAT	IONS VERIFIC	ATION			
Complianc ensure the quantitative	at the instrum	s for satisfactory in ment is capable of	nstrument calibration producing and mai	are established to ntaining acceptable	
Dat	e of initial calib	ration:08/05	/16		
Dat	es of initial cali	bration verification:_	08/05/13		
Inst	rument ID num	bers:GCD	E		
Ma	trix/Level:	AQUEOUS/MEDIUI	M		
DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED	
	Initial and carti	avina solihantias			
Initial and continuing calibration meet method specific requirements					

### Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest.
   When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
  - o The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
  - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

#### **DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS**

#### Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

#### Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects. If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

### CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:08/05/16
Dates of continuing calibration verification:08/09/15
Dates of final calibration verification:08/09/16
Instrument ID numbers:GCDE
Matrix/Level:AQUEOUS/MEDIUM

DATE	LAB FILE	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT SAMPL				
	ID#		RFs, %RSD, %D, r	AFFECTED			
Initial and continuing calibration meet method specific requirements							

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

				All criteria were met met and/or see below	
VA. BLAN	K ANALYSIS RE	SULTS (Se	ctions 1 & 2)		
magnitude of blanks associ problems wit evaluated to case, or if the Method Blan	contamination p iated with the sa h any blanks ex determine wheth e problem is an	problems. The imples, inclusives, all data are or not the isolated occurrence after sample	ne criteria for evaluding trip, equipma associated with ere is an inherenturrence not affects suspected of l	tetermine the exister luation of blanks applanent, and laboratory to the case must be to variability in the datating other data. A Labeing highly contamination of the case must be a laborated that a laborated the case and the	ly only to planks. If carefully a for the aboratory
List the conta	amination in the	blanks belov	w. High and low	levels blanks must be	treated
Laboratory bl	anks				
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATIO UNITS	N
_METHOD 1	BLANKS MEET S_DESCRIBED_	THE ME	THOD SPECIFI OCUMENT	C CRITERIA_EXCE	EPT_IN_
_08/09/16	OP48360-M	BAq./low_	C9-C18_Ali C19-C36_A	phatics34.8_u liphatics55.9_u	     
	analyte not de qualified the res	tected abov	e reporting limit	elow reporting limits in sample batch. La ification performed.	boratory
Field/Trip/Equ	iipment				
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATIO UNITS	N.
_NO_TRIP/FI _DATA_PAC	ELD/EQUIPMEN (AGE	IT_BLANKS	_ANALYZED_AS	SOCIATED_WITH_1	HIS
Note:					

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

## V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

### **Blank Actions**

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

	All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not	met and/or see below	

#### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROG S1	S2	ND S3	S4	ACTION
_SURROGATE_ _LIMITS	_STANDAR	DS_RECOVER	RIES_WITHIN	_LABORAT	ORY_CONTROL
S1 = o-Terpheny			S2 = 2-Fluo		
S3 = 1-Chlorood QC Limits (%)* ( _LL_to_UL	(Aqueous) 40_to_140_			•	ne 40-140% _140_
QC Limits* (Solid _LL_to_UL	* .	to	to	to	_

#### Note:

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met and/or see below

## VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.</p>

MS/MSD Reco	veries and Precision Cri	teria			
Sample ID:	_MC47204-2	Matrix/Level:_(	Groundwater_		
List the %Rs, R	PD of the compounds w	vhich do no	t meet t	he QC criteria.	
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
			<u> </u>		
					-
					<del> </del>

within laboratory control limits.

Note: MS/MSD analyzed with this data package. % recoveries and RPD

9

		C	riteria wer	All criteria w e not met and/or s	vere metX see below
No action is taken of informed profession conjunction with other data. In those install affect only the samp However, it may be a systematic proble associated samples.	al judgment, the er QC criteria ar nces where it count ble spiked, the count determined through em in the analy	e data nd deter an be o pualifica	reviewer imine the determined tion should MS/MSD re	may use the MS/ need for some qu I that the results I be limited to thi esults that the labo	/MSD results in ralification of the of the MS/MSD s sample alone. oratory is having
2. MS/MSD – U	nspiked Compou	ınds			
List the concentration compounds in the un					
COMPOUND	CONCENTRATE SAMPLE	TION MS	MSD	%RPD	ACTION
					····
			-		
	276 280				
Criteria: None specifi	ed, use %RSD <u>s</u>	<u>≤</u> 50 as	profession	al judgment.	
Actions:					

If the % RSD > 50, qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J). If the % RSD is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

	All criteria were metX  Criteria were not met and/or see below
VIII	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS
This matrices.	s data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various
1.	LCS Recoveries Criteria
	List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria
LCS ID	COMPOUND % R QC LIMIT ACTION
LCS_RE	COVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMTS
* Acti Acti	Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.  The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%.  ons: ons on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds are outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of
If the %R of the associal fine %R of the affection of the affection of the than the second of the se	of the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in ted samples and accept nondetects.  If the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects cted analyte in the associated samples.  In half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, is is isositive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the samples.
2. Free	quency Criteria:
per matrix)? If no, the di the effect a	S analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples 2 Yes or No. at a may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of nd qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples scuss the actions below:

		Crite	All cr ria were not met and		ere met below _N/A		
IX. FIELD/LA	IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION						
Sample IDs:			Matrix:_	· ·			
Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.							
COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION		
				-			
RPD used to asse	ess precisio	n. RPD within labo	is data package. MS pratory and validation es concentration > 5	n guidan	recoveries ce document		
Criteria:  The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.  RPD ± 30% for aqueous samples, RPD ± 50 % for solid samples if results are ≥ SQL.  If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.							
SQL = soil quantita	ation limit						
Actions:							
If both the samp calculable (NC). N			are nondetects (N	ID), the	RPD is not		
Qualify as estima exceeded the above		e results (J) and	nondetects (UJ) for	r the co	mpound that		
f one sample result is not detected and the other is $\geq$ 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).							

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional

judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

### XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- 1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
  - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH
     Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
  - The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
  - o All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
  - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
  - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.
- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
  - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
  - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

- 1b. Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
  - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
  - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

	Criteria we	All criteria were not met and/or s	ere metX ee below
2.	If target analytes and/or TICs were not collaboratory resubmit the corrected data.	rectly identified, re	equest that the
3.	Breakthrough determination - Each sample evaluated for potential breakthrough on a sam % recovery of the fractionation surrogate (2-b basis by quantifying naphthalene and 2-meth and aromatic fractions of the LCS and LCSI naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the the total concentration for naphthalene or or LCSD, fractionation must be repeated or	ple specific basis be promonaphthalene) ylnaphthalene in bo D. If either the co aliphatic fraction 2-methylnaphthale	y evaluating the and on a batch oth the aliphatic oncentration of exceeds 5% of the in the LCS
	NOTE: The total concentrate methylnaphthalene in summation of the caliphatic fraction and taromatic fraction.	the LCS/LCSD particon deleter concentration of the	ir includes the lected in the detected in the
	_Comments:Concentration_in_the_aliphatic _concentration_for_naphthalene_and_2-methy	_fraction_<_5%_of_ rlnaphthalene	
4.	Fractionation Check Standard – A fraction containing 14 alkanes and 17 PAHs at a non each constituent. The Fractionation Check Sol fractionation efficiency of each new lot of silic optimum hexane volume required to efficiently not allowing significant aromatic hydrocarbor contained in the fractionation check solution, Recovery must be between 40 and 140%. A 3 nonane.	ninal concentration ution must be used a gel/cartridges, ar elute aliphatic hydro breakthrough. Fo excluding n-nonar	of 200 ng/µl of to evaluate the nd establish the rocarbons while or each analyte ne, the Percent
	Is a fractionation check standard analyzed?		Yes? or No?
	Comments: Not applicable.		

			ΑII	criter	ia	were	met	X
Criteria	were	not	me	t and/	or	see	belov	v

### XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

JC47204-2MS

EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics)

RF = 114,553

[] = (43529961)/(114,553)

[] = 380 ug/ml Ok

JC47204-2MS

EPH (C19 – C36, Aliphatics)

RF = 72,594

[] = (15425606)/(72,594)

[] = 212.5 ug/ml Ok

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- 2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
- 3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION			

If dilution was not performed, affected samples/compounds:	results	(J)	for the	affected	compounds.	List the
	 					<u></u>